

### COUNCIL OF COUNCILS

# Council Operating Procedures Review, Discussion, and Vote

Nicole C. Kleinstreuer, Ph.D.

Acting NIH Deputy Director for Program Coordination, Planning, and Strategic Initiatives
Acting Chair, Council of Councils



## Suggested Revision: Applications from Foreign Institutions

### Suggested modification to Section II, Part B, subsection 1 (page 2)

1. Applications from Foreign Institutions and/or Domestic Applications with Consortium/Subaward Agreements with Foreign Subrecipients Foreign Projects (NOT-OD-25-104)

In reviewing and making recommendations on applications from foreign institutions and/or domestic applications with foreign projects consortium/subaward agreements with foreign subrecipients, Council members should be aware that ALL of the following criteria must be met in order for a foreign award grant or a grant with foreign subrecipients to be supported by the NIH:



## Suggested Revision: Applications from Foreign Institutions

### Suggested modification to Section II, Part B, subsection 1d-e (page 3)

d. The application may be awarded only after assurance that the foreign entity institution or foreign subrecipients are is in compliance with human subject and animal welfare, and gender, and minority requirements.

e. For a Applications from foreign institutions and/or domestic applications with foreign projects with consortium/subaward agreements with foreign subrecipients, the application, may be awarded only after assurance that the foreign entity is subrecipients are aware of and willing to abide by all requirements in NOT-OD-23-133 (effective October 1, 2023), should an award be issued.



# Suggested Revision: Competing Revisions and Admin Supplements

### Suggested modification to Section II, Part E, subsection 4a (page 5)

- 4. Competing Revisions and Administrative Supplements:
  - a. These types of awards are not expected to be a significant contributing factor in reaching the threshold, since many will not incur future year commitments. However, multi-year supplements are included in grant's out-year commitments and do contribute to the \$2.0M threshold. In order to prevent Re-entry and Diversity-Supplements from being an impediment to an investigator, to the extent possible, these supplements should be excluded from the threshold count.



## Suggested Revision: Concept Clearance - Common Fund

#### Suggested modification to Section III, Part A, subsection 2, paragraph 4 (page 10)

...The Council, by a simple majority vote of the appointed members, will recommend approval, modification, deferral, or disapproval of a program concept as a whole or the concept's individual initiatives. A vote to approve is to accept the concept/initiative as written and presented. A vote to disapprove reflects Council opinion that the goals of the initiative or program should not be pursued with Common Fund support. The Council may request modifications to a concept. A vote to modify is to approve the concept/initiative with clearly stated modifications; if modifications are made, the concept/initiative would not need to be brought back to Council for approval. The Council may request to defer a concept A vote to defer is a vote to call for additional information and/or external input to re-shape the concept/initiative or to clarify the need. In these cases, the revised concept/initiative must be discussed and cleared at a future Council meeting. A vote to disapprove reflects Council opinion that the goals of the initiative or program should not be pursued with Common Fund support.



### **Discussion and Vote**

 Vote to approve the suggested revisions to Council Operating Procedures